We rarely start from scratch

There is a lot that goes into standing up a modern single page application (SPA)

- React, itself
- Bundlers and transpilers
- CSS-related tools
- Router
- Test tools, linter, …
- And much more (10-100s of dependencies…)

We could absolutely assemble all of these technologies ourselves, but that is a lot of work (and a lot decisions for which we many not have enough information to have an informed opinion). Instead, we are going to use frameworks that wrap around React that have already integrated many (most?) of the tools we need to get started.



React just provides the component system. We will be using Next.js to manage development and some of our server functionality. We can think of Next.js as providing a more full featured (and opinionated) setup around React. Instead of use choosing among and integrating this functionality on our own, Next.js has done so already. Next.js is not the only way to setup a React application (our course previously used CreateReactApp), and as with some of our other tools you/we may not agree with some of the choices made by the Next.js developers. However, it provides a robust starting point with many best practices built in.

This is a partial list of the features listed on the Next.js front page (primarily the ones that are interesting to us). While we won't necessarily care about the speed boost from the server-side pre-rendering, it is a major feature of the framework, so it merits notice.

You have already been using Next for some of the initial practicals and assignments. We are going to start to dig into its features a bit more…

Typical Next.js workflow

1. Clone existing application repository
   or `npx create-next-app my-app` to create a new application
2. Install dependencies with `npm install`
3. Run development server with `npm run dev`
   - Website available at http://localhost:3000
   - Changes will appear automatically when you save
4. Build site for production with `npm run build`
5. Run the site in production mode with `npm start`
6. (If setup) Run tests with `npm test`, linter with `npm run lint`

Will will typically be cloning an existing repository so that I can provide starter code, i.e., I typically already did step 1…

We will typically not do steps 4 and 5 locally, but instead those steps will be integrated into our deployment workflow.

Our typical Next folder structure

```
my-app/
  README.md
  node_modules/
  package-lock.json
  package.json
  public/
    favicon.ico
  src/
    components/
    pages/
      _app.js
      index.js
      api/
    styles/
      globals.css
      Home.module.css
```

NPM package infrastructure (present in every npm packge)

Static assets (e.g., images)

All JS and CSS files. Most your work will happen in here.

This is the structure that you will see for our applications, where all the “code” is contained in the “src” directory.

Next uses a very specific directory structure, with functionality dependent on file location/naming. This is an example of “convention vs. configuration”. That is by sticking to certain conventions we don’t have to explicitly configure our application (i.e., specify in a configuration file somewhere the location of the code). Only atypical situations require explicit configuration (to override the convention). This is a common approach for web frameworks where the goal is to make the typical case easy.

One caveat for Next, is that the directory structure is evolving quite a bit and so you might see different setups. Specifically, this was not the original default setup, and there is now a newer "app" directory that we won't use (we are specifically not using the most recent version of Next).

Let’s dig into that “src” directory a bit more…

Our typical Next folder structure

```
my-app/
  README.md
  node_modules/
  package-lock.json
  package.json
  public/
    favicon.ico
  src/
    components/           // Sub-components
    pages/
      _app.js             // Root component
      index.js            // Homepage
      api/                // API routes
    styles/
      globals.css
      Home.module.css
```

Components contains React components used in (potentially many) pages. These components may be used anywhere in our application. We separate them out to facilitate that reuse. For example, our “in-class” tool is a Next application. The same poll component is re-used in all the different interfaces (participant, instructor, and the view layered on the screen…).

The pages directory describes separate “pages” in our application, with the “api” sub-directory implementing code that runs exclusively on the server not the browser. We will talk more about that aspect later in the semester.

The notion of multiple pages seems to conflict with the idea of the single page application (SPA). It is a recognition that most SPAs still have distinct views and that the URLs (which we can link to, move between with the browser’s back/forward button) are a helpful tool for managing those views. So, we use those tools, specifically the browser history to maintain state for us. We can use the URL to determine which component we want to show on the screen at any one time , effectively treating the URL like other forms of application state! Behind the scents, the router is loading new components based on the URL without actually reloading the page, as in a traditional web application. This enables the interactivity (and statefulness) of an SPA, with the familiar interaction mechanics of a multiple page application (e.g., we can link to specific views in our application).

(Dynamic) routing in Simplepedia

```
src/pages/
  _app.js
  index.js            // http://domain/
  articles/
    [[...id]].js      // http://domain/articles/42
    [id]/
      edit.js         // http://domain/articles/42/edit
  edit.js             // http://domain/edit
```

Value in URL becomes id variable

```
function Component() {
  const router = useRouter();
  const { id } = router.query;
}
```

For:
http://domain/articles/42/edit
id will be 42

https://nextjs.org/docs/routing/dynamic-routes

The pages directory is another example of convention over configuration. When we navigate to a URL, e.g., http://domain/articles/42/edit, Next.js will render the components in the associated file based on the directory structure in pages (i.e., the router is determined by the directory convention not a configuration file somewhere that maps routes to components). In some cases, the files define static routes, e.g., a fixed mapping between names and files. In others the routing is dynamic, that is multiple routes map to the same file, with part that varies extracted as a variable. These are indicated by the square brackets of various kinds. [click]. In this example, that variable is named id.

Specific examples shown here (see documentation for more info).
[[…id]], Optional catch all route, e.g., will match /, /a, /a/b, …, assigning an array to id
[id], Match route and assign to id

Note more specific URLs take precedence, that is why /articles/42/edit matches as shown instead of the catch all.

When the component is rendered by Next, we can access the router (the functionality that selected the component) to obtain the variable and other information, e.g., the extract the id variable for use in the application. We can also use the router to switch between components, e.g., to switch articles by “navigating” to say /articles/26 (article with id 26). We say “navigate”, but that is not really what is

happening. In practice, we are programmatically adding an entry to the browser history and updating the router state to (re)render the correct component, with the new URL and associated variables.

Check out documentation: https://nextjs.org/docs/routing/dynamic-routes

What does client-side routing replace?

```
function Component() {
  const [mode, setMode] = useState("view");
  const [currentArticle, setCurrentArticle] = useState();
  if (mode === "view") {
    return <Viewer ... />;
  } else if (mode == "edit") {
    // Editing article if currentArticle defined
    return <Editor currentArticle={currentArticle} />;
  }
  …
}
```

To better understand the role of client-side routing, it can be helpful to think about how we could accomplish that same tasks without. In assignment 3 you are adding an editor and “editing” mode to create or update articles. That is, we can think about the application having at least two modes “viewing” and “editing”. We could determine the mode with an additional piece of state, mode. And the combination of mode and currentArticle, encode whether you're creating a new article or editing an existing article.

This is totally workable (and indeed was how previous versions of Simplepedia were designed). The tradeoffs are we can’t directly link to relevant pages from outside, e.g., send someone a link to a specific article or to creating new articles. And the “top level” component that contains the conditional can get very complex.

In the client side-routing, the ”mode” is implicit in the URL and the conditional is implemented by the router and the directory structure we created.

Styling approaches in Next.js

- Static CSS files

  `global.css`
- Import CSS files like code

  `import "./styles.css"`
- CSS modules

  `import style from "./ColorPicker.module.css"`
- CSS-in-JS

We can include a static CSS file as an asset, i.e., the traditional approach. But this approach is not very modular and doesn't necessarily work well with a component-based design. CSS has a single global name space. We would have to merge the styles for all components into the global file, even components we didn't write ourselves, and hope there no conflicts.

We can "import" CSS files (using features of Webpack to bundle that CSS into the JavaScript file) for each component. This eliminates the need to combine our CSS files but doesn't resolve issues with having all classname is a single, global, namespace. There are still many opportunities for naming collisions. So not a great fit for truly modular components. CSS modules are essentially a scoped version of importing css into the module that address thar issue (by automatically extending the class names with unique identifiers, checkout your applications in the browser developer tools).

CSS-in-JS integrates styling into the components as JavaScript code (similar to our color picker example in which we created the background color style in the code, but with many more features, like dynamically changing styles, using swappable themes, etc).

Global styling vs. CSS modules

```
function ColorPicker() {
  const [red, setRed] = useState(0);
  const [green, setGreen] = useState(0);
  const [blue, setBlue] = useState(0);

  const color = {background: `rgb(${red}, ${green}, ${blue})`};
  return (
    <div>
      <div className="colorSwatch" style={color} ></div>
      <LabeledSlider label="red"   value={red}   setValue={setRed}/>
      <LabeledSlider label="green" value={green} setValue={setGreen}/>
      <LabeledSlider label="blue"  value={blue}  setValue={setBlue}/>
    </div>
  );
}
```

```
.colorSwatch {
  width: 100px;
  height: 100px;
  border: 1px solid black;
}
```

```
import styles from './ColorPicker.modules.css'
…
<div className={styles.colorSwatch} style={color}>
```

The difference as noted is that it will create a class name like:
ColorPicker_colorSwatch__Lu74p

Really a debate about separation of concerns (SoC)

SoC is a design principle that each "unit" in a program should address a different and non-overlapping concern

HTML is content (only), CSS is style (only)

Each component should be separate

Separation of Concerns (SoC) will be a recurring topic this semester, but in short, SoC is a design principle that each “unit” in a program should address a different and non-overlapping concern.

In this context, a common SoC argument around HTML/CSS is that HTML should specify content (only) and CSS should specify the style (only), i.e., separate style from content. Proponents of CSS-in-JS also make a SoC argument, but that one component should be entirely separate from the others.

CSS in practice? Component libraries



As we will see later in the semester, the combination of component libraries and CSS-in-JS is common and powerful solution. In a later practical we will modernize the Simplepedia UI using the Material UI framework. In this approach we are using a set of pre-built components, with their associated CSS, for common UI elements (like the button) bar. We can further customize the look with CSS-in-JS. The result is we can quickly stand-up a very modern-looking interactive UI, with the con that it may look like the rest of the web…